

#### References

### Validated Tools

### **COVR**

- Doyle, M., Shaw, J., Carter, S. & Dolan, M. (2010). Investigating the validity of the classification of violence risk in a UK sample. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 9(4), 316-323. Access Here.
- Fujii, D. E. M., Takioka, A. B., Lichton, A. & Hishinuma, E. (2005). Ethnic differences in prediction of violence risk with the HCR-20 among psychiatric inpatients. *Psychiatric Services*, 56(6), 711-716. <u>Access Here</u>.
- Kennedy, J., Bresler, S., Whitaker, H. & Masterson, B. (2007). Assessing violence risk in psychiatric inpatients: Useful tools. *Psychiatric Times*, *24*(8). <u>Access Here</u>.
- McClusker, P. J. (2007). Issues regarding the clinical use of the Classification of Violence Risk (COVR) assessment instrument. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 51(6), 676–685. Access Here.
- McDermott, B. E., Dualan, I. V. & Scott, C. L. (2011). The predictive ability of the Classification of Violence Risk (COVR) in a forensic psychiatric hospital. *Psychiatric Services*, 62(4), 430-433. Access Here.
- Meadows, R. J. (2014). *Understanding violence and victimization*. New York: Pearson Education. Access Here.
- Monahan, J. (2001). *Rethinking risk assessment: The MacArthur study of mental disorder and violence*. New York: Oxford University Press. <u>Access Here</u>.
- Monahan, J. (2010) 'The Classification of Violence Risk.' In R. K. Otto and K. S. Douglas. Handbook of violence risk assessment. New York, London: Routledge, 187-198. Access Here.
- Monahan, J., Steadman, H., Robbins, P., Appelbaum, P., Banks, S., Grisso, T., Heilbrun, K., Mulvey, E. P., Roth, L. & Silver, E. (2005). An actuarial model of violence risk assessment for persons with mental disorders. *Psychiatric Services*, 56(7), 810–815. Access Here.
- Persson, M., Belfrage, H., Fredriksson, B. & Kristiansson, M. (2017). Violence during imprisonment, forensic psychiatric care, and probation: Correlations and predictive validity of the risk assessment instruments COVR, LSI-R, HCR-20<sup>v3</sup>, and SAPROF. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, *16*(2), 117-129. Access Here.
- Snowden, R. J., Gray, N. S., Taylor, J. & Fitzgerald, S. (2009). Assessing risk of future violence among forensic psychiatric inpatients with the Classification of Violence Risk (COVR). *Psychiatric Services*, 60(11), 1522-1526. Access Here.
- Snowden, R. J., Gray, N. S. & Taylor, J. (2010). Risk Assessment for future violence in individuals from an ethnic minority group. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 9(2), 118-123. Access Here.



Sturup, J., Kristiansson, M. & Lindqvist, P. (2011). Violent behaviour by general psychiatric patients in Sweden. *Psychiatry Research*, 188(1), 161-165. Access Here.

### HCR-20

- Abbiati, M., Laix, J., Gasser, J. & Malin, V. (2014). Predicting physically violent misconduct in prison: A comparison of four risk assessment instruments. *Behavioral Science and the Law*, 37(1), 61-77. Access Here.
- Arai, K., A. Takano, T. Nagata & N. Hirabayashi. (2016). Predictive accuracy of the Historical-Clinical-Risk-Management-20 for violence in the forensic psychiatric wards in Japan. Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health, 27(5), 1471-2857. Access Here.
- Campbell, M. A., French, S. & Gendreau, P. (2009). The prediction of violence in adult offenders: A meta-analytic comparison of instruments and methods of assessment. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 36(6), 567–590. <u>Access Here</u>.
- Cawood, J. S. (2017). The inter-rater reliability and predictive validity of the HCR-20 V3 in common workplace environments. *Journal of Threat Assessment and Management,* 4(1), 1-11. Access Here.
- Coid, J., Yang, M., Ullrich, S., Zhang, T., Sizmur, S., Roberts, C., Farrington, D. P., & Rogers, R. D. (2009). Gender differences in structured risk assessment: Comparing the accuracy of five instruments. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 77(2), 337-348.

  Access Here.
- de Vogel, V. & de Ruiter, C. (2005). The HCR-20 in personality disordered female offenders: A comparison with a matched sample of males. *Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy*, 12(3), 226-240. Access Here.
- de Vogel, V., de Vries Robbé, M., van Kalmthout, W. & Place, C. (2012). Risk assessment of violent women: Development of the "Female Additional Manual" (FAM) [article in Dutch].' *Tijdschrift voor Psychiatrie*, 54(4), 329 338. Access Here.
- Dickens, G. L. & O'Shea, L. E. (2017). Use of the HCR-20 for violence risk assessment: Views of clinicians working in a secure inpatient mental health setting.' *The Journal of Forensic Practice*, 19(2), 130-138. Access Here.
- Douglas, K. S., Webster, C. D., Hart, S. D. & Ogloff, J. R. P. (2001). *HCR-20 violence risk management guide*. Burnaby, Canada: Simon Fraser University. [Not accessible]
- Douglas, K. S. & Belfrage, H. (2014). Interrater reliability and concurrent validity of the HCR-20 Version 3.' *The International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 13(2), 130-139. Access Here.
- Douglas, K. S., Guy, L. S., Reeves, K. A.; & Weir, J. (2005). HCR-20 violence risk assessment scheme: Overview and annotated bibliography. Systems and Psychosocial Advances Research Center Publications and Presentations, 335. Access Here.



- Douglas, K. S. & Reeves, K. A. (2010). Historical-Clinical-Risk Management-20 (HCR-20) violence risk assessment scheme: Rationale, application and empirical overview. In R. K. Otto and K. S. Douglas (Eds.), *Handbook of Violence Risk Assessment*. New York, London: Routledge, pp. 147-186. Access Here.
- Douglas, K. S., Hart, S. D., Webster, C. D., Belfrage, H., Guy, L. S. & Wilson, C. M. (2014). Historical-Clinical-Risk Management-20, version 3 (HCR-20<sup>v3</sup>): Development and overview. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 13(2), 93-108. Access Here.
- Doyle, M. & Dolan, M. (2006). Predicting community violence from patients discharged from mental health services. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 189(6), 520–526. Access Here.
- Doyle, M., Power, L. A., Coid, J., Kallis, C., Ullrich, S. & Shaw, J. (2014). Predicting post-discharge community violence in England and Wales using the HCR-20<sup>v3</sup>. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, *13*(2), 140-147. Access Here.
- Garcia-Mansilla, A., Rosenfeld, B. & Cruise, K. R. (2011). Violence risk assessment and women: Predictive accuracy of the HCR-20 in a civil psychiatric sample. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law, 29*(5), 623-633. <u>Access Here.</u>
- Gray, N. S., Taylor, J. & Snowden, R. J. (2008). Predicting violent reconvictions using the HCR-20. *British Journal of Psychiatry*, 192(5), 384-387. Access Here.
- Green, D., Schneider, M., Griswold, H., Belfi, B., Herrera, M. & DeBlasi, A. (2016). A comparison of the HCR-20<sup>v3</sup> among male and female insanity acquittees: A retrospective file study. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 15(1), 48-64. Access Here.
- Ho, H., Thomson, L. & Darjee, R. (2009). Violence risk assessment: The use of the PCL-SV, HCR-20, and VRAG to predict violence in mentally disordered offenders discharged from a medium secure unit in Scotland. *The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology*, 20(4), 523-541. Access Here.
- Jeandarme, I., Pouls, C., De Laender, J., Oei, T. I. & Bogaerts, S. (2017). Field validity of the HCR-20 in forensic medium security units in Flanders. *Psychology, Crime & Law, 23*(4), 305-322. Access Here.
- Lindsay, W. R., Hogue, T. E., Taylor, J. L., Steptoe, L., Mooney, P., O'Brien, G., Johnstone, S. & Smith, A. H. W. (2008). Risk assessment in offenders with intellectual disability: A comparison across three levels of security. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 52(1), 90–111. Access Here.
- Logan, C. (2014). The HCR-20 version 3: A case study in risk formulation. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 13(2), 172-180. Access Here.
- Mills, J. F., Kroner, D. G. & Hemmati, T. (2007). The validity of violence risk estimates: An issue of item performance. *Psychological Services*, *4*(1), 1-12. <u>Access Here</u>.
- O'Shea, L. E., Thaker, D. K., Picchioni, M. M., Knight, F. L., Dickens, C. & Dickens, G. L. (2015). Predictive validity of the HCR-20 for violent and non-violent sexual behaviour in a secure mental health service. *Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health*, 26(5), 366-379. Access Here.



- Sada, A., Robles-Garcia, R., Martinez-Lopez, N., Hernandez-Ramirez, C. A., Tovilla-Zarate, F. Lopez-Munguila, E., Ayala Suarez-Alvarez, X. & Fresan, A. (2016). Assessing the reliability, predictive and constructive validity of historical, clinical and risk management 20 (HCR-20) in Mexican psychiatric inpatients. *Nordic Journal of Psychiatry*, 70(6), 456-461. Access Here.
- Schaap, G., Lammers, S. and de Vogel, V. (2009). Risk assessment in female forensic psychiatric patients: A quasi-prospective study into the validity of the HCR-20 and PCL-R. *Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology*, 20(3), 354-365. Access Here.
- Shepherd, S. M., Campbell, R. E. & Ogloff, J. R. P. (2018). The Utility of the HCR-20 in an Australian sample of forensic psychiatric patients. *Psychiatry, Psychology and the Law,* 25(2), 273-282. <u>Access Here</u>.
- Strub, D. S., Douglas, K. S. & Nicholls, T. L. (2016). Violence risk assessment of civil psychiatric patients with the HCR-20: Does gender matter?' *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 15(1), 81-96. <u>Access Here</u>.
- Vitacco, M. J., Tabernik, H. E., Zavodny, D., Bailey, K. & Waggoner, C. (2016). Projecting risk: The importance of the HCR-20 risk management scale in predicting outcomes with forensic patients. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law, 34*(2-3), 308-320. Access Here.

### **START**

- Alderman, N., Major, G. & Brooks, J. (2016). What can structured professional judgment tools contribute to the management of neurobehavioral disability?: Predictive validity of the Short-Term Assessment of Risk and Treatability (START) in acquired brain injury. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation: An International Journal*, 28(3), 448-465. Access Here.
- Braithwaite, E., Charette, Y., Crocker, A. G. & Reyes, A. (2010). The predictive validity of clinical ratings of the Short-Term Assessment of Risk and Treatability (START). *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 9(4), 271-281. Access Here.
- Cartwright, J. K., Desmarais, S. L., Hazel, J., Griffith, T. & Azizian, A. (2018). Predictive validity of HCR-20, START and Static-99R assessments in predicting institutional aggression among sexual offenders. *Law and Human Behavior*, *42*(1), 13-25. Access Here.
- Chu, C., Thomas, S. D. M.., Ogloff, J. R.P. & Daffern, M. (2011). The predictive validity of the short-term assessment of risk and treatability (START) in a secure forensic hospital: Risk factors and strengths. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 10(4), 337-345. <a href="https://doi.org/10.2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016/j.gov/nc-2016
- Collins, M. J., Desmarais, S.L., Nicholls, T.L. & Brink, J. (2008). The Short-Term Assessment of Risk and Treatability (START): Evaluating perceived utility and user satisfaction in clinical practice. Poster presented at the meetings of the International Association of Forensic Mental Health Services, Vienna, Austria. [Not accessible]
- Crocker, A. G., Braithwaite, E., Laferrière, D., Gagnon, D., Venegas, C. & Jenkins, T. (2011). START changing practice: Implementing a risk assessment and management tool in a



- civil psychiatric setting. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health,* 10(1), 13-28. Access Here.
- de Vogel, V., Bruggeman, M. & Lancel, M. (2019). Gender-sensitive violence risk assessment: Predictive validity of six tools in female forensic psychiatric patients. *Criminal Justice and Behavior, 46*(4), 528-549. Access Here.
- Desmarais, S. L., Nicholls, T. L., Wilson, C. M. & Brink, J. (2012a). Using dynamic risk and protective factors to predict inpatient aggression: Reliability and validity of START assessments. *Psychological Assessment*, 24(3), 685-700. Access Here.
- Desmarais, S. L., Sellers, B. G., Viljoen, J. L., Cruise, K. R., Nicholls, T. L. & Dvoskin, J. A. (2012b). Pilot implementation and preliminary evaluation of START:AV assessments in secure juvenile correctional facilities. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 11(3), 150-164. Access Here.
- Dickens, G. L. & O'Shea, L. E. (2015). How short should short-term risk assessment be? Determining the optimum interval for START reassessment in a secure mental health service. *Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 122(6), 397-406. Access Here.
- Doyle, M., Lewis, G. & Brisbane, M. (2008). Implementing the Short-Term Assessment of Risk and Treatability (START) in a forensic mental health service. *Psychiatric Bulletin 32*, 406-408. Access Here.
- Gray, N. S., Benson, R., Craig, R., Davies, H., Fitzgerald, S., Huckle, P., Maggs, R., Taylor, J., Trueman, M., Williams, T. & Snowden, R. J. (2011). The Short-Term Assessment of Risk and Treatability (START): A prospective study of inpatient behavior. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 10(4), 305-313. <u>Access Here</u>.
- Marriott, R., O'Shea, L. E., Picchichi, M. M. & Dickens, G. L. (2017). Predictive validity of the short-term assessment of risk and treatability (START) for multiple adverse outcomes: The effect of diagnosis. *Psychiatry Research*, 256, 435-443. Access Here.
- Kroppan, E., Nesset, M. B., Nonstad, K., Pedersen, T. W., Almvik, R. & Palmstierna, T. (2011). Implementation of the Short Term Assessment of Risk and Treatability (START) in a forensic high secure unit. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 10(1), 7-12. Access Here.
- Kroppan, E., Nonstad, K., Iversen, R. B. & Sondenaa, E. (2017). Implementation of the Short-Term Assessment of Risk and Treatability over two phases. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Healthcare*, 10, 321-336. Access Here.
- Nicholls, T. L., Brink, J., Desmarais, S. L., Webster, C. D. & Martin, M.-L. (2006). The Short-Term Assessment of Risk and Treatability (START): A prospective validation study in a forensic psychiatric sample. *Assessment*, *13*(3), 313–327. <u>Access Here</u>.
- O'Shea, L. E. & Dickens, G. L. (2015). Predictive validity of the Short-Term Assessment of Risk and Treatability (START) for aggression and self-harm in a secure mental health service: Gender differences.' *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 14(2), 132-146. Access Here.



- O'Shea, L. E., Picchioni, M. M. & Dickens, G. L. (2016). The predictive validity of the Short-term Assessment of Risk and Treatability (START) for multiple adverse outcomes in a secure psychiatric inpatient settings. Assessment, 23(2), 150-162. Access Here.
- Quinn, R., Miles, H. & Kinane, C. (2013). The validity of the Short-Term Assessment of Risk and Treatability (START) in a UK medium secure forensic mental health service. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 12(3), 215-224. Access Here.
- Timmins, K. L. E., Evans, L. & Tully, R. J. (2018). Inter-rater reliability of the Short-Term Assessment of Risk and Treatability (START). *Journal of Forensic Psychiatry and Psychology*, 29(6), 968-988. Access Here.
- Viljoen, S., Nicholls, T., Greaves, C., de Ruiter, C. & Brink, J. (2011). Resilience and successful community reintegration among female forensic patients: A preliminary investigation. *Behavioral Science and the Law, 29*(5), 752-770. Access Here.
- Viljoen, J. L., Beneteau, J. L., Gulbransen, E., Brodersen, E., Desmarais, S. L., Nicholls, T. L. & Cruise, K. R. (2012). Assessment of multiple risk outcomes, strengths, and change with the START:AV: A short-term prospective study with adolescent offenders. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 11(3), 165-180. Access Here.
- Webster, C. D., Martin, M. L., Brink, J., Nicholls, T. L. & Middleton, C. (2004). *Manual for the Short-Term Assessment of Risk and Treatability (START): Version 1.0 consultation Edition*. Hamilton, Canada: St. Joseph's Healthcare; Port Coquitlam, Canada: Forensic Psychiatric Services Commission. [Not accessible]
- Wilson, C. M., Desmarais, S. L., Nicholls T. L. & Brink, J. (2010). The role of client strengths in assessments of violence risk using the Short-Term Assessment of Risk and Treatability (START). *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 9(4), 282-293. Access Here.

# TRAP-18

- Brugh, C.S., Desmarais, S. L., & Simons-Rudolph, J. (2020). Application of the TRAP-18 framework to U.S. and Western European lone actor terrorists. *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism*. Access Here.
- Böckler, N., Hoffmann, J., & Zick, A. (2015). The Frankfurt airport attack: A case study on the radicalization of a lone-actor terrorist. *Journal of Threat Assessment and Management,* 2(3-4), 153-163. Access Here.
- Challacombe, D. J., & Lucas, P. A. (2018). Postdicting violence with sovereign citizen actors: An exploratory test of the TRAP-18. *Journal of Threat Assessment and Management,* Advance Online Publication. Access Here.
- Erlandsson, A., & Meloy, J. R. (2018). The Swedish school attack in Trollhättan. *Journal of Forensic Science*, 63(6), 1917-1927. Access Here.
- Goodwill, A., & Meloy, J. R. (2019). Visualizing the relationship among indicators for lone actor terrorist attacks: Multidimensional scaling and the validity of the TRAP-18. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law* [in press]



- Meloy, J. R., Hoffmann, J., Roshdi, K., & Guldimann, A. (2014). Some warning behaviors discriminate between school shooters and other students of concern. *Journal of Threat Assessment and Management*, 1(3), 203-211. Access Here.
- Meloy, J. R. (2016). *TRAP-18 Manual*. New York: Global Institute of Forensic Research. [Not accessible]
- Meloy, J. R. (2018). The operational development and empirical testing of the Terrorist Radicalization Assessment Protocol (TRAP-18). *Journal of Personality Assessment,* 100(5), 483-492. Access Here.
- Meloy, J. R. (2019). Terrorist Radicalization Assessment Protocol (TRAP-18). In M Lloyd (Ed.). Extremism risk assessment: A directory. (pp. 33-38). Centre for Research and Evidence on Security Threats. Access Here.
- Meloy, J. R., & Genzmas, J. (2016). The Clinical Threat Assessment of the Lone-Actor Terrorist. *Psychiatric Clinics of North America*, 39(4), 649-662. <u>Access Here</u>.
- Meloy, J. R., & Gill, P. (2016). The lone-actor terrorist and the TRAP-18. *Journal of Threat Assessment and Management*, 3(11), 37-52. <u>Access Here</u>.
- Meloy, J., R., Goodwill, A. M., Meloy, M. J., Amat, G., Martinez, M., & Morgan, M. (2019). Some TRAP-18 indicators discriminate between terrorist attacks and other subjects of national security concern. *Journal of Threat Assessment and Management,* Advance online publication. Access Here.
- Meloy, J. R., Roshdi, L., Giaz-Ocik, J., & Hoffmann, J. (2016). Investigating the individual terrorist in Europe. *Threat Assessment and Management, 2*(3-4), 140-152. <u>Access Here</u>.
- Guldimann, A., & Meloy, J.R. (2020). Assessing the threat of lone-actor terrorism: the reliability and validity of the TRAP-18. *Forensische Psychiatrie, Psychologie, Kriminologie, 14,* 158-166. Access here.

### VRAG-R

- Abbiati, M., Laix, J., Gasser, J. & Malin, V. (2014). Predicting physically violent misconduct in prison: A comparison of four risk assessment instruments. *Behavioral Science and the Law*, 37(1), 61-77. Access Here.
- Andreau-Rodriguez, J. M., Peña-Fernández M. E. & Loza, W. (2016). Predicting risk of violence through a self-appraisal questionnaire. *European Journal of Psychology Applied to Legal Context*, 8(2), 51-56. Access Here.
- Barra, S., Bessler, C., Landolt, M. A. & Aebi, M. (2018). Testing the validity of criminal risk assessment tools in sexually abusive youth. *Psychological Assessment*, 30(1), 1430-1443. Access Here.
- Brookstein, D., Daffern, M. & Ogloff, J. (2016). For better or worse: The predictive validity of the HCR-20 V3 and the VRAG-R in community settings. International Association of Forensic Mental Health Services Conference, New York, 21-23 June 2016. [Not accessible]



- Camilleri, J. A. and Quinsey, V. L. (2011). Appraising the risk of sexual and violent recidivism among intellectually disabled offenders.. *Psychology, Crime & Law, 17*(1), 59-74. Access Here.
- Coid, J., Yang, M., Ullrich, S., Zhang, T., Sizmur, S., Roberts, C., Farrington, D. P. & Rogers, R. D. (2009). Gender differences in structured risk assessment: Comparing the accuracy of five instruments. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 77(2), 337-348. Access Here.
- Cox, J., Fairfax-Columbo, J., DeMatteo, D., Vitacco, M. J., Kopkin, M. R., Parrott, C. T. & Bownes, E. (2018). An update and expansion of the role of the Violence Risk Appraisal Guide and Historical Clinical Risk Management-20 in United States case law. *Behavioral Sciences and the Law*, 36(5), 517-531. Access Here.
- Daffern, M. (2007). The predictive validity and practical utility of structured schemes used to assess risk for aggression in psychiatric inpatient settings. *Aggression and Violent Behavior*, 12(1), 116-130. Access Here.
- Doyle, M., Dolan, M. & McGovern, J. (2002). The validity of Northern American risk assessment tools in predicting in-patient behaviour in England. *Legal & Criminological Psychology*, 7(2), 141-154. Access Here.
- Doyle, M. and Dolan, M. (2006). Predicting community violence from patients discharged from mental health services. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 189(6), 520-526.

  Access Here.
- Doyle, M., Carter, S., Shaw, J. & Dolan, M. (2012). Predicting community violence from patients discharged from acute mental health units in England. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 47(4), 627-637. Access Here.
- Eisenbarth, H., Osterheider, M., Nedopil, N. & Stadtland, C. (2012). Recidivism in female offenders: PCL-R lifestyle factor and VRAG show predictive validity in a German sample. *Behavioral Sciences & the Law, 30*(5), 575-584. Access Here.
- Endrass, J., Rossegger, A., Frischknecht, A., Noll, T. & Urbaniok, F. (2008). Using the Violence Risk Appraisal Guide (VRAG) to predict in-prison aggressive behavior in a Swiss offender population. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 52(1), 81–89. Access Here.
- Glover, A. J. J., Churcher, F. P., Gray, A. L., Mills, J. F., & Nicholson, D. E. (2017). A cross-validation of the Violence Risk Appraisal Guide—Revised (VRAG-R) within a correctional sample. Law and Human Behavior, 41(6), 507-518. Access Here.
- Gray, N. S., Fitzgerald, J., Taylor, J. L., MacCulloch, M. J. & Snowden, R. J. (2007). Predicting future reconviction in offenders with intellectual disabilities: The predictive efficacy of VRAG, PCL-SV, and the HCR-20. *Psychological Assessment*, 19(4), 474-479.

  Access Here.
- Harris, G. T., Rice, M.E. & Quinsey, V. L. (2016). *Violence Risk Appraisal Guide-Revised, 2013: User guide.* Belfast, Northern Ireland: Data Services, Queen's University Library.

  Access Here.



- Harris, G. T., Rice, M. E., Quinsey, V. L. & Cormier, C. A. (2015). *Violent offenders: Appraising and managing risk (3rd ed.).* Washington, DC, US: American Psychological Association. Access Here.
- Hastings, M. E., Krishnan, S., Tangney, J. & Stuewig, J. (2011). Predictive and incremental validity of the Violence Risk Appraisal Guide scores with male and female jail inmates.' *Psychological Assessment, 23*(1), 174-83. <u>Access Here</u>.
- Hertz, P. G., Eher, R., Etzler, S. & Rettenberger, M. (2019). Cross-validation of the revised version of the Violence Risk Appraisal Guide (VRAG-R) in a sample of individuals convicted of sexual offences. Sexual Abuse, 1-25. Access Here.
- Ho, H., Thomson, L. & Darjee, R. (2009). Violence risk assessment: the use of the PCL-SV, HCR-20, and VRAG to predict violence in mentally disordered offenders discharged from a medium secure unit in Scotland. *The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology,* 20(4), 523-541. Access Here.
- Kröner, C., Stadtland, C., Eidt, M., & Nedopil, N. (2007). The validity of the Violence Risk Appraisal Guide (VRAG) in predicting criminal recidivism. *Criminal Behaviour and Mental Health*, 17(2), 89-100. Access Here.
- Langton, M., C., Barbaree, H., Seto, M., C., Peacock, E. J., Harkins, L. & Hansen, K. (2007). Actuarial assessment of risk for reoffense among adult sex offenders. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 34(1), 37-59. Access Here.
- Loza, W. & Dhaliwal, G. K. (1997). Psychometric evaluation of the Risk Appraisal Guide (RAG): A tool for assessing violent recidivism. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*, *12*(6), 779–793. Access Here.
- Mills, J. F., Kroner, D. G. & Hemmati, T. (2007). The validity of violence risk estimates: An issue of item performance. *Psychological Services*, *4*(1), 1–12. <u>Access Here</u>.
- Olver M. E. & Sewall, L. A. (2018). Cross-validation of the discrimination and calibration properties of the VRAG-R in a treated sexual offender sample. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 45(6), 741-761. Access Here.
- Pouls, C. & Jeandarme, I. (2018). Predicting institutional aggression in offenders with intellectual disabilities using the Violence Risk Appraisal Guide. *Journal of Applied Research In Intellectual Disabilities*, 31(2), e265-e271. Access Here.
- Quinsey, L., Harris, G. T., Rice, M. E. & Cormier, C. A. (2006). *Violent offenders: Appraising and managing the risk.* Washington, D. C.: American Psychological Association. [Not accessible]
- Rice, M. E., Harris, G. T., Lang, C. & Chaplin, T. C. (2008). Sexual preferences and recidivism of sex offenders with mental retardation. Sexual Abuse, 20(4), 409–425. Access Here.
- Rice, M. E., Harris, G. T. & Lang, C. (2013). Validation of and revision to the VRAG and SORAG: the Violence Risk Appraisal Guide-Revised (VRAG-R). *Psychological Assessment, 25*(3), 951-965. <u>Access Here</u>.



- Rossegger, A., Laubacher, A., Moskvitin, K., Villmar, T., Palermo, G. B. & Endrass, J. (2011). Risk assessment instruments in repeat offending: The usefulness of FOTRES.' *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 55(5), 716–731.

  Access Here.
- Snowden, R. J., Gray, N. S., Taylor, J. & Fitzgerald, S. (2009). Assessing risk of future violence among forensic psychiatric inpatients with the Classification of Violence Risk (COVR). *Psychiatric Services*, 60(11), 1522-1526. <u>Access Here</u>.
- Snowden, R. J., Gray, N. S. & Taylor, J. (2010). Risk assessment for future violence in individuals from an ethnic minority group in the UK. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 9(2), 118-123. Access Here.
- Thomson, L., Davidson, M., Brett, C., Steele, J. & Darjee, R. (2008). risk assessment in forensic patients with schizophrenia: The predictive validity of actuarial scales and symptom severity for offending and violence over 8 10 years. *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 7(2), 173-189. <u>Access Here</u>.
- Verbrugge, H. M., Goodman-Delahunty, J. & Frize, M. C. J. (2011). Risk assessment in intellectually disabled offenders: Validation of the suggested ID supplement to the HCR-20.' *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 10(2), 83-91. Access Here.

### VRS-2

- Andrews, D. A. & Bonta, J. (2010). *The Psychology of Criminal Conduct (5<sup>th</sup> edition).* Salisbury, UK: Anderson Publishing Ltd. <u>Access Here.</u>
- Daffern, M. (2007). The predictive validity and practical utility of structured schemes used to assess risk for aggression in psychiatric inpatient settings. *Aggression and Violent* Behavior 12(1), 116-130. Access Here.
- Dolan, M., Fullam, R., Logan, C. & Davies, G. (2008). The Violence Risk Scale second edition (VRS-2) as a predictor of institutional violence in a British forensic inpatient sample. *Psychiatry Research*, 158(1), 55-65. Access Here.
- Dolan, M. & Fullam, R. (2007). The validity of the Violence Risk Scale second edition (VRS-2) in a British forensic inpatient sample. *Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology*, 18(3), 381-393. Access Here.
- Doyle, M., Carter, S., Shaw, J. & Dolan, M. (2012). Predicting community violence from patients discharged from acute mental health units in England. Social Psychiatry and Psychiatric Epidemiology, 47(4), 627-637. Access Here.
- Lewis, K., Olver, M. E. & P., S. C. (2013). The Violence Risk Scale: Predictive validity and linking changes in risk with violent recidivism in a sample of high- risk offenders with psychopathic traits. Assessment, 20(2), 150–164. Access Here.
- Stewart, C. A. (2011). *Risk assessment of federal female offenders.* Unpublished doctoral thesis. Saskatchewan, Canada: University of Saskatchewan. Access Here.
- Wong, S. & Gordon, A. (1999). *Manual for the Violence Risk Scale*. Saskatchewan, Canada: University of Saskatchewan. [Not accessible]



- Wong, S. C. P. & Gordon, A. (2006). The validity and reliability of the Violence Risk Scale: A treatment-friendly violence risk assessment tool. *Psychology, Public Policy, and Law,* 12(3), 279-309. Access Here.
- Wong, S. C. P & Parhar, K. K. (2011). Evaluation of the predictive validity of the Violence Risk Scale in a paroled offender sample: a seven-year prospective study. *The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology*, 22(6), 790-808. Access Here.
- Zhang X. L., Chen X. C., Cai W. X. & Hu, J. M. (2012). Reliability of the violence risk scale of Chinese version [article in Chinese]. *Fa Yi Xue Za Zh, 28*(1), 32–35. <u>Access Here</u>.

## Tools awaiting validation

### **DRAOR**

- Averill, A. E. (2016). An investigation of the dynamic risk assessment for offender re-entry (DRAOR) with New Zealand sexual offenders [Master's thesis, University of Canterbury]. University of Canterbury Research Repository. Access Here.
- Chadwick, N. (2014). *Validating the Dynamic Risk Assessment for Offender Re-entry (DRAOR)* in a sample of U.S. probationers and parolees [Master's thesis, Carleton University]. Carleton University Research Virtual Environment (CURVE). <u>Access Here</u>.
- Hanby, L. (2013). A longitudinal study of dynamic risk, protective factors and criminal recidivism: change over time and the impact of assessment timing [Doctoral thesis, Carleton University]. Carleton University Research Virtual Environment (CURVE).

  Access Here.
- Perley-Robertson, B. (2019). *Predictive validity of the dynamic risk assessment for offender reentry among intimate partner violence offenders.* [Master's thesis, Carleton University]. Carleton University Research Virtual Environment (CURVE). <u>Access Here</u>.
- Polaschek, D. L. L. & Yesberg, J. A. (2018). High-risk violent prisoners' patterns of change on parole on the DRAOR's dynamic risk and protective factors. *Criminal Justice and Behavior*, 45(3), 340–363. Access Here.
- Tamatea, A. & Wilson, N. (2009). *Dynamic Risk Assessment for Offender Re-entry* (*DRAOR*): A pilot study. Wellington, New Zealand: New Zealand Department of Corrections. [Not accessible]
- Serin, R. C., Lloyd, C. D. & Hanby, L. J. (2010). Enhancing offender re-entry an integrated model for enhancing offender re-entry. *European Journal of Probation*, 2(2), 53–75.

  Access Here.
- Yesberg, J. A. & Polaschek, D. L. L. (2014). What can the DRAOR tell us about high-risk offenders? A preliminary examination. *Practice: The New Zealand Corrections Journal*, 2(1), 13-19. Access Here.
- Yesberg, J. A., Scanlan, J. M. & Polaschek, D. L. L. (2014). Women on parole: do they need their own DRAOR? *Practice: The New Zealand Corrections Journal*, 2(1), 20-25. Access Here.



Yesberg, J. A., Scanlan, J. M., Hanby, L. J., Serin, R. C. & Polaschek, D. L. L. (2015). Predicting women's recidivism: validating in dynamic community-based "gender-neutral" tool. *Probation Journal*, 62(1), 33-48. Access Here.

### ERG22+

- Dean, C. (2014). The healthy identity intervention: The UK's development of a psychologically informed intervention to address extremist offending. In M. Lloyd (Ed.). *Extremism risk assessment: A directory.* (pp. 89-107). Routledge. <u>Access Here.</u>
- Dean, C., Lloyd, M., Keane, C., Powis, B., & Randhawa, K. (2019). Intervening with extremist offenders A pilot study. *HM Prison & Probation Service*. Access Here.
- Hart, S. D., Cook, A. N., Pressman, D. E., Strang, S. & Lim, Y. L. (2017). A concurrent evaluation of threat assessment tools for the individual assessment of terrorism. Ontario, Canada: The Canadian Network for Research on Terrorism, Security and Society, working paper series no 17-1. Access Here.
- Herzog-Evans, M. (2018). A comparison of two structured professional judgment tools for violent extremism and their relevance in the French context. *European Journal of Probation*, 10(1), 3-27. Access Here.
- HMPPS. (2019). Extremism risk guidelines: ERG22+. In M. Lloyd (Ed.), Extremism risk assessment: A directory. (pp. 12-18). Centre for Research and Evidence on Security Threats, Access Here.
- Lloyd, M. (2019). Extremism risk assessment: A directory. Centre for Research and Evidence on Security Threats. Access Here.
- Lloyd, M., & Dean, C. (2015). The development of structured guidelines for assessing risk in extremist offenders. *Journal of Threat Assessment and Management*, 2(1), 41-52. Access here.
- Logan, C., & Lloyd, M. (2018). Violent extremism: A comparison of approaches to assessing and managing risk. *Legal and Criminal Psychology*, 24(1), 141-161. Access Here.
- Powis, B., Randhawa-Horne, K., & Bishopp, D. (2019). The structural properties of the extremism risk guidelines (ERG22+): A structured formulation tool for extremist offenders. *Ministry of Justice Analytical Series*. <u>Access Here.</u>
- Powis, B., Randhawa-Horne, K., & Elliott, I. (2019). Inter-rater reliability of the extremism risk guidelines 22+ (ERG 22+). *Ministry of Justice Analytical Series*. <u>Access Here.</u>
- Skleparis, D., & Knudsen, R.A. (2020). Localising 'radicalisation': Risk assessment practices in Greece and the United Kingdom. *British Journal of Politics and International Relations*, 22(2), 309 327. Access here.
- Van der Heide, L., van der Zwan, & van Leyenhorst, M. (2019), 'The practitioner's guide to the galaxy A comparison of risk assessment tools for violent extremism', *ICCT research paper* (Online). Access Here

### MLG

Cook, A.N., Hart, S.D., & Kropp, P.R (2019). 'Multi-level guidelines: MLG version 2', in Lloyd, M. (ed.) Extremism risk assessment: A directory (pp. 28-32). Centre for Research and Evidence on Security Threats, 28 – 32. Access Here.



- Hart, S. D., Cook, A. N., Pressman, D. E., Strang, S. & Lim, Y. L. (2017). A concurrent evaluation of threat assessment tools for the individual assessment of terrorism. Ontario, Canada: The Canadian Network for Research on Terrorism, Security and Society, working paper series no 17-1. Access Here.
- Lloyd, M. (2019). Extremism risk assessment: A directory. Lancaster, UK: Centre for Research and Evidence on Security Threats. <u>Access Here.</u>
- Logan, C., & Lloyd, M. (2019). Violent extremism: A comparison of approaches to assessing and managing risk. *Legal and Criminal Psychology*, 24(1), 141-161. https://doi.org/10.1111/lcrp.12140
- Cook, A.N. (2014). Risk Assessment and Management of Group-Based Violence [PhD dissertation, Simon Fraser University]. https://summit.sfu.ca/item/14289
- RTI International (2017). Countering violent extremism Developing a research roadmap. Access Here.

### **SAPROF**

- Abbiati, M., Laix, J., Gasser, J. & Malin, V. (2014). Predicting physically violent misconduct in prison: A comparison of four risk assessment instruments. *Behavioral Science and the Law*, 37(1), 61-77. Access Here.
- Abidin, Z., Davoren, M., Naughtor, L., Gibbons, O., Nulty, A. & Kennedy, H. G. (2013). Susceptibility (risk and protective) factors for in-patient violence and self-harm: Prospective study of structured professional judgment instruments: START and SAPROF, DUNDRUM-3 and DUNDRUM-4 in forensic mental health services. *BMC Psychiatry*, 13, 197-214. Access Here.
- Coid, J. W., Kallis, C., Doyle, M., Shaw, J. & Ullrich, S. (2015). Identifying causal risk factors for violence among discharged patients. *PLOS One, 10*(11), e0142493. <u>Access Here</u>.
- de Vogel, V., de Vries Robbe, M., de Ruiter, C. & Bauman, Y. H. A. (2011). Assessing protective factors in forensic psychiatric practice: Introducing the SAPROF.' *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 10(3), 171-177. <u>Access Here</u>.
- de Vogel, V., de Ruiter, C., de Bouman, Y. & de Vries Robbé, M. (2009). SAPROF. Guidelines for the assessment of protective factors for violence risk [English version of the Dutch original]. English Version. Utrecht, The Netherlands: Forum Educatief. [Not accessible]
- de Vries Robbé, M. & de Vogel, V. (2012). SAPROF 2nd edition

  Manual: updated Research chapter. Utrecht: Van der Hoeven Kliniek. Access Here.
- de Vries Robbé, M., de Vogel, V. & de Spa, E. (2011). Protective factors for violence risk in forensic psychiatric patients: A retrospective validation study of the SAPROF.' *International Journal of Forensic Mental Health*, 10(3): 178–186. Access Here.
- de Vries Robbé, M., de Vogel, V. & Douglas, K. S. (2013). Risk factors and protective factors: a two-sided dynamic approach to violence risk assessment. *The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry and Psychology*, 24(4), 440-257. Access Here.



- de Vries Robbé, M., de Vogel, V., Koster, K. & Bogaerts, S. (2015). Assessing protective factors for sexually violent offending with the SAPROF.' Sexual Abuse: A Journal of Research and Treatment, 27(1), 51-70. Access Here.
- de Vries Robbe, M. & Willis, G. M. (2017). Assessment of protective factors in clinical practice.' Aggression and Violent Behaviour, 32, 55-63. Access Here.
- Kashiwagi, H., Kikuchi, A., Koyama, M., Saito, D. & Hirabayashi, N. (2018). Strength-based assessment for future violence risk: A retrospective validation study of the Structured Assessment of Protective Factors for Violence Risk (SAPROF) Japanese version in forensic psychiatric inpatients. *Annals of General Psychiatry*, 17(5). Access Here.
- Yoon, D., Spehr, A. & Briken, P. (2011). Structured assessment of protective factors: a German pilot study in sex offenders. *The Journal of Forensic Psychiatry & Psychology, 22*(6), 834-844. Access Here.
- Yoon, D., Turner, D., Klein, V., Rettenberger, M., Eher, R. & Briken, P. (2016). Factors predicting desistance from reoffending: A validation study of the SAPROF in sexual offenders. *International Journal of Offender Therapy and Comparative Criminology*, 62(3), 697-716. Access Here.

## VERA-2R

- Beardsley, N. L., & Beech, A. R. (2013). Applying the violent extremist risk assessment (VERA) to a sample of terrorist case studies. *Journal of Aggression, Conflict, and Peace Research*, 5(10), 4-15. Access Here.
- Gilpérez-López, I., Torregrosa, J., Barhamgi, M., & Camacho, D. (2017). An initial study on radicalisation risk factors: Towards an assessment software tool. 28<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Database and Expert Systems Applications. Access Here.
- Hart, S. D., Cook, A. N., Pressman, D. E., Strang, S. & Lim, Y. L. (2017). A concurrent evaluation of threat assessment tools for the individual assessment of terrorism. Ontario, Canada: The Canadian Network for Research on Terrorism, Security and Society, working paper series no 17- 1. Access Here.
- Herzog-Evans, M. (2018). A comparison of two structured professional judgment tools for violent extremism and their relevance in the French context. *European Journal of Probation*, 10(1), pp. 3-27. <u>Access Here</u>.
- Lloyd, M. (2019). *Extremism risk assessment: A directory*. Lancaster, UK: Centre for Research and Evidence on Security Threats. <u>Access Here.</u>
- Pressman, D. E. (2009). *Risk assessment decisions for violent political extremism*. Ottawa, Canada: Public Safety Canada, Government of Canada. <u>Access Here</u>.
- Pressman, D.E. (2016). 'The complex dynamic causality of violent extremism: Applications of the VERA-2 risk assessment method to CVE initiatives', in Masys, A. (ed.) *Disaster forensics*. *Advanced sciences and technologies for security applications*. Springer, Cham, 249-269. Access Here.



- Pressman, D. E. & Flockton, J. (2012). Calibrating risk for violent political extremists and terrorists: The VERA 2 structured assessment. *The British Journal of Forensic Practice*, 14(4), pp. 237-251. Access Here.
- Pressman, D. E. & Flockton, J. (2014). Violent extremist risk assessment: Issues and applications of the VERA-2 in a high-security correctional setting. in A. Silke (ed.) *Prisons, terrorism and extremism: Critical issues in management, radicalisation and reform.* London, United Kingdom: Routledge, pp. 122–143. <u>Access Here.</u>
- Pressman, D. E., Duits, N., Rinne, T. & Flockton, J. S. (2018). Violent extremism risk assessment, version 2-revised (VERA-2R). *Radicalisation Awareness Network*. <u>Access Here</u>.
- Pressman, D. E., Duits, N., Rinne, T. & Flockton, J. S. (2019) 'Violent extremism risk assessment version 2 revised (VERA-2R)', in Lloyd, M. (ed.) *Extremism risk assessment: A directory*. Lancaster, UK: Centre for Research and Evidence on Security Threats, 39-45. <u>Access Here.</u>
- Sumpter, C. (2020) 'Realising violent extremist risk assessments in Indonesia: Simplify and collaborate'. *Journal for Deradicalization*, Spring(22), pp. 97 121. Access Here.
- Van der Heide, L., van der Zwan, & van Leyenhorst, M. (2019), 'The practitioner's guide to the galaxy A comparison of risk assessment tools for violent extremism', *ICCT research paper (Online)*. Access Here

# WAVR-21

- Brunt, B. V. (2013). A comparative analysis of threat and risk assessment measures. *The Journal of Campus Behavioral Intervention, 1,* 111-151. <u>Access Here</u>.
- Kienlen, Kristine. (n.d.). Review of the WAVR-21: A structured professional guide for the Workplace Assessment of Violence Risk (second edition). National Institute for the Prevention of Workplace Violence. Access Here.
- Meloy, J. R., White, S. G. & Hart, S. (2013). Workplace assessment of targeted violence risk: the development and reliability of the WAVR-21. *Journal of Forensic Sciences*, 58(5), 1353-1358. Access Here.